Tape Subject Log (rev. 11/21)

Conversation No. 62-1

Date: June 17, 1971

Time: Unknown between 7:55 am and 3:37 pm

Location: Cabinet Room

Harrison A. Williams, Jr., met with Roman L. Hruska, George D. Aiken, Allen J. Ellender, Milton R. Young, Strom Thurmond, Warren G. ("Maggie") Magnuson, Carl B. Albert, [Thomas] Hale Boggs, Gerald R. Ford, Albert H. Quie, Emanuel Celler, Richard H. Poff, F. Edward Hébert, Leslie C. Arends, George H. Mahon, Olin E. ("Tiger") Teague, Paul G. Rogers, William L. Springer, Egil ("Bud") Krogh, Jr., William E. Timmons, John D. Ehrlichman, Jeff Donfeld, Ronald L. Ziegler, Herbert G. Klein, and Dr. Jerome H. Jaffe

[Previous archivists categorized this section as unintelligible. It has been rereviewed and released 08/16/2019.]

[Unintelligible] [062-001-w004] [Duration: 8m 19s]

General conversation

Greetings

George [last name unknown]

The President entered at 8:06 am

Photography

George D. Aiken

- -Vermont
- -Unknown location

Foreign policy
-Role in bipartisan meetings
-Benefits

Tape Subject Log

(rev. 11/21)

```
Drug programs
          -Interest of bipartisan politicians
         -Background introduction
         -Use of drugs
               -Socio-economic classes
              -In schools
              -Racial breakdown
               -Armed forces
                    -Robert H. Finch's report
                         -Use by visitors
                    -Vietnam situation
                         -Availability of drugs
                         -Cost of drugs compared to cost in United States
                         -General Creighton W. Abrams, Jr.'s report
                             -Check of new recruits
                             -Use of drugs by new recruits
              -Effect of combination of factors
              -Extent of problem
         -New program
               -Requirements
                    -Money
                    -Support by Congress
               -Four problems
******************************
[This segment was declassified on 02/28/2002.]
[National Security]
[062-001-w001]
[Duration: 2m 9s]
    Drug programs
          -New program
               -Four problems
                    -Supply
                         -Turkish role
                         -Processing
                         -French role
                         -Asian role
                         -New sources
```

-Mexico

-Marijuana

Tape Subject Log

(rev. 11/21)

-Ambassadorial meeting -Foreign policy role

```
Drug programs
     -New program
           -Four problems
                -Enforcement
                     -Needs
                           -Personnel
                           -Penalties
                     -Prosecution
                -Treatment
                     -Government responsibility
                -Education
                     -Fashionable use of marijuana
                     -Dealing with "drug society"
     -Krogh's presentation
           -Special action office on drug abuse prevention
                -Location
                -Goals
                     -Limitation of drug use
                     -Limitation of crime
                -Washington, DC programs
                     -Chief of Police briefing
                     -Heroin as related to DC crime
                     -Results
                -Director
                     -Responsibilities
                           -Treatment
                           -Rehabilitation
                           -Education
                           -Training
                           -Research
           -History of drug programs
                -Krogh's role
                -Drawbacks
           -Benefits of new office
                -Role of "line" agencies
                -Role of new director
                -Formal working agreements
```

Tape Subject Log

- -Previous efforts
 - -Federal Bureau of Investigation [FBI]
 - -Skyjacking model
- -Organization of new office
 - -Emphasis on evaluation of programs
 - -Size of office
 - -Setting of strategy and priorities for subsidiary groups
- -Funds for programs
 - -Included programs
 - -Historical trends
 - -Proposed increases
 - -Procedures for submitting requests
 - -Accounting benefits of one office
 - -Ease of locating spending
- -Shifts in "line" responsibilities
- -Description of line items in budget
 - -Department of Defense reprogramming
 - -Use
 - -Bureau of Narcotics and Dangerous Drugs [BNDD]
 - -Customs
 - -Internal Revenue Service [IRS]
- -Spending of new appropriations
 - -Education/training
 - -Past use of funds
 - -Community planning projects
 - -Phoenix example
 - -Research
 - -Vaccines
- -Methadone maintenance
 - -Benefits
 - -Goals of new office
- -Criminal justice
 - -Mexican/United States cooperation
 - -Use of chemists' analysis
 - -BNDD positions
 - -Evidence of production misuse
 - -Export of dangerous drugs by United States
 - -Customs position
 - -"Operation Intercept"
 - -Drawbacks
 - -Inspection requirements
 - -Patrol requirements
- -Drug addiction in military

Tape Subject Log

- -Identification of users
- -Vietnam programs
 - -Identification
 - -Detoxification
 - -Treatment
 - -Worldwide treatment
- -Procedural set-up for Vietnam programs
 - -Diagnosis
 - -Identification of users
 - -Detoxification
 - -Cam Ranh Bay
 - -Long Binh
 - -Commencement
 - -Urinalysis
 - -Treatment in United States
 - -Possibilities
 - -Location of programs
 - -Disposition of patients
 - -Physical location
- -Discharge provision of addicts
- -Jaffe
 - -Illinois experience
- -History of drug users
- -History of military users
 - -Reason for optimism
- -Presentation of options
- -Characteristics of military users
- -Discharge possibilities
 - -Changes
 - -Aid to servicemen
 - -Related to entrance into programs
- -Identification of users
 - -New tests for heroin
 - -Tests for amphetamines and barbiturates
 - -Effect on treatment
- -Discharge possibilities
 - -Commission of crime
 - -Compared with alcoholism
 - -Use of "bad conduct" discharge
 - -Treatment priorities
- -Disability payments
 - -Payments compared to treatment
- -Detection of incoming users

Tape Subject Log

- -Precautions against abuse
- -"Cure" rates
- -"Cure" possibilities
 - -Methadone
 - -Societal function
- -Use of ex-users for therapy
 - -Backgrounds
- -Possibilities of relapse
 - -Need for post-treatment support
 - -Expectations
 - -Effect on treatment
- -Breaking of drug cycle
- -Environment
 - -Effect on treatment
- -Testing of military entrants
- -Addicts in United States compared to Vietnam
- -Effect of programs
 - -Choices of users
 - -Needs of users
- -Administration responsibility to users
 - -"In service" treatment
- -Treatment of users
 - -Flexibility
- -Treatment of alcoholics
 - -Veterans' Administration [VA] role
- -Relapse of users
 - -Treatment responsibilities
 - -VA role
- -Administration of programs
 - -Role of new agency
 - -Central organization
- -Identification of users
 - -Shortcomings
 - -Use of drugs to avoid draft
- -Educational efforts
- -Goals of programs
 - -Role of diagnosis
- -Diagnosis relative to discharge date
- -Effect of experimentation
- -Identification of users
 - -Techniques
- -Education of users
 - -Misinformation regarding addiction possibilities

-Heroin use

NIXON PRESIDENTIAL MATERIALS STAFF

Tape Subject Log

```
-Effect on addiction
          -Role of research
               -Deaths attributed to heroin
               -History of heroin use
                    -Post-Civil War use
                    -Cyclical characteristics
               -Addicts in United States compared to number in other nations
[This segment was declassified on 02/28/2002.]
[National Security]
[062-001-w002]
[Duration: 13s]
     Drug programs
          -Role of research
               -Addicts in the US compared to number in other nations
                    -Correlation of growth of poppies and use of heroin
                    -Turkey
                    -Southeast Asia
*******************************
     Drug programs
          -Role of research
               -Magnitude of efforts
                    -State Department
          -Jaffe's Illinois programs
               -Testing programs
                    -Use of computers
                         -Filing of results
                    -Methods
                         -Litmus paper
                              -Benefits
          -Need for energetic attack on program
          -Jaffe's Illinois program
               -Identification of drug problems
                    -Housewives compared to school pupils
               -Need for multiple response
```

Tape Subject Log

(rev. 11/21)

```
-Reasons
           -Range of responses
     -Response of heroin users
           -Efficacy of programs
     -Unity of different programs
     -Matching of program with user
     -Attitude of proponents of programs
     -Benefits of wide range of responses
     -Efficacy of programs
           -Evaluation and feedback
-Number of agencies in the field
-Central agency
     -Ellender
     -Evaluation of agencies
     -Direction
     -Multiplicity of approaches
     -Law enforcement
           -IRS role
                -John B. Connally
                -Basis of prosecution
           -BNDD role
     -Jaffe's role
     -Reporting to the President
     -Responsibility of agencies
     -Jaffe's role
     -Vietnam's effect on drug problem
     -Attack on all illegitimate drugs
     -Role of United States' doctors
           -Reduction of drug flow
     -Post-Vietnam responsibilities
```

```
[This segment was declassified on 02/28/2002.]
[National Security]
[062-001-w003]
[Duration: 57s]

Drug programs
Central agency
-Japanese
-Okinawa incident
```

Tape Subject Log

(rev. 11/21)

-Fate of pushers

-Use of established facilities

```
-Union of Soviet Socialist Republics [USSR]
           -Spain
Drug programs
     -Central agency
           -Spain
                -Test of "free" system
                -Compassion for addict
                -Pushers
     -Department of Health, Education, and Welfare [HEW] bill
           -Money for drug abuse
     -Education bill
           -Money for drug abuse
     -Central budget planning
           -Balance of approaches
     -Legislation
           -Statutory authority to central agency director
     -Past congressional aid to fight drugs
     -Success of drug programs
     -Unification of various agencies
           -Goals
                -Central planning
                -Administration
           -Legislative flexibility
                -Future power needs
                      -Past needs
                -Future legislative needs
                      -Jaffe's role
     -Flow of enabling legislation
           -House of Representatives
                -Interstate and Foreign Commerce Committee
                      -Subcommittee on health
                           -Rogers' role
           -Senate
                -Health and Welfare Committee
                -Judiciary Committee
           -Timetable of action
     -Civilian approach
```

Tape Subject Log

- -Illinois precedent
- -Need for flexibility
- -Juxtaposition of treatment and those in need
- -Problems of flexibility
- -Imminent closing of facilities
- -Escape of users from treatment
- -Fort Worth facility
 - -Drawbacks
- -Locating effective treatment centers
- -Fort Worth facility
 - -Public Health Service role
- -Hruska
- -History of law enforcement emphasis
 - -Thomas J. Dodd's bill
 - -Harold E. Hughes' efforts
 - -Effect on law enforcement
- -Arguments for education versus enforcement
 - -The President's background
 - -Emphasis on enforcement
 - -Attitude changes
 - -Drawbacks of enforcement
 - -Role of treatment
 - -Ambassadors' roles
 - -Role of education
 - -Networks' role
 - -Use of all resources
 - -Role of doctors
 - -Role of teachers
 - -Need for further efforts
 - -Recognition of drug problem
 - -Drug related crime
 - -Extent
 - -Attack on drug problem
 - -Worldwide focus
 - -Government-wide focus
 - -Nationwide focus
 - -Bipartisan efforts
 - -Results of failure in fighting drugs
 - -Gratitude towards Congress
 - -White House initiatives
 - -Cancer precedent
 - -Need for centralization
 - -Jaffe

Tape Subject Log

(rev. 11/21)

- -Relations with legislators
- -Jurisdiction over military
- -Jaffe's relation to Department of Defense
- -Need for cooperation
 - -Military shortcomings

Gratitude for attendance

The President left at 9:48 am

[Previous archivists categorized this section as unintelligible. It has been rereviewed and released 08/19/2019.] [Unintelligible] [062-001-w005] [Duration: 4m 48s]
General conversation
Progress made
Railroad
Georgia
US military
Congress

Recording was cut off at an unknown time before 3:37~pm

Conversation No. 62-2

Date: June 17, 1971

Time: Unknown between 9:48 am and 11:59 pm

Tape Subject Log (rev. 11/21)

Location: Cabinet Room Vice President Spiro T. Agnew met with William P. Rogers, Melvin R. Laird, John B. Connally, General George A. Lincoln, John N. Mitchell, David Packard, Richard M. Helms, Admiral Thomas H. Moorer, Gerard C. Smith, Philip J. Farley, John N. Irwin, II, Martin J. Hillenbrand, Henry A. Kissinger, Dr. K. Wayne Smith, Helmut ("Hal") Sonnenfeldt, and William Newton [Previous archivists categorized this section as unintelligible. It has been rereviewed and released 08/16/2019.] [Unintelligible] [062-002-w004] [Duration: 1m 13s] General conversation The President entered at 3:37 pm Greetings Photography Thomas H. Moorer [?] ****** [This segment was declassified on 02/28/2002.] [National Security] [062-002-w001] [Duration: 56m 58s] *********************************

BEGIN WITHDRAWN ITEM NO. 1

[National Security (B) withdrawal reviewed under MDR guidelines case number LPRN-T-MDR-2014-013. Segment exempt per Executive Order 13526, 3.3(b)(1) on 04/18/2019. Archivist:MAS] [National Security]

Tape Subject Log

(rev. 11/21)

[062-002-w001] [Duration: <u>13m 48s</u>]

MUTUAL AND BALANCED FORCE REDUCTION [MBFR]

END WITHDRAWN ITEM NO. 1

Mutual and Balanced Force Reduction [MBFR]

- -William P. Rogers's presentation
 - -West Germany
 - -Experience at North Atlantic Treaty Organization [NATO] meeting
 - -France
 - -Maurice Schumann
 - -Expressions at North Atlantic Treaty Organization [NATO] meeting
 - -US contribution to European defense
 - -Goals
 - -North Atlantic Treaty Organization [NATO] unity at meeting
 - -Canada
 - -Flexibility of action
 - -William P. Rogers's conversation with Willy Brandt
 - -General strategy for talks
- -Effect of US reduction of forces on allies
 - -Effect of reductions on Warsaw Pact nations
 - -Union of Soviet Socialist Republics [USSR] occupational forces
 - -Reliability of Warsaw Pact forces
 - -Poland
 - -General reduction
 - -East Germany
 - -Control of politics
 - -Role of Berlin
 - -General outlook of Warsaw Pact nations
 - -Economic gains
 - -The President's discussion with Charles Bludhorn [?]
 - -Union of Soviet Socialist Republics [USSR] troops
 - -Czechoslovakia
- -Henry A. Kissinger's presentation
 - -Resolution of North Atlantic Treaty Organization [NATO] verification panels -Purpose

Tape Subject Log

- -Troop reduction
 - -Location of reductions
- -Verification
- -Scenarios for reduction
- -Warning of Union of Soviet Socialist Republics [USSR] mobilization
- -Effect of reductions on Union of Soviet Socialist Republics [USSR] capability
- -Scenarios favoring North Atlantic Treaty Organization [NATO]
 - -Effect on Warsaw Pact capability
 - -Effect on North Atlantic Treaty Organization [NATO] capabilities
 - -Breakdown of reductions
 - -Significance
- -Warsaw Pact forces vs. North Atlantic Treaty Organization [NATO] forces
 - -Effect of cuts on military outcome
- -Range of cuts
 - -Verification
 - -Force imbalance
 - -Effect on objectives
- -Area covered by cuts
 - -Various scenarios
 - -Area of East and West Germany and Czechoslovakia
 - -Concern with foreign vs. local forces
 - -Advantage to North Atlantic Treaty Organization [NATO]
 - -Problem of what forces to include
 - -Role of Union of Soviet Socialist Republics [USSR] forces
 - -US goals
 - -Union of Soviet Socialist Republics [USSR] goals
 - -Trade-offs of desires for protected areas
 - -Redeployment of Union of Soviet Socialist Republics [USSR] forces
 - -Flanks of Europe
 - -Perception by other countries
 - -People's Republic of China [PRC]
- -Size of cuts
 - -Inclusions of Union of Soviet Socialist Republics [USSR] territory
 - -Verification necessities
 - -US options
 - -German situation
- -Nationality and type of forces in reduction
 - -Foreign vs. indigenous force reductions
- -Reliability of force reductions
 - -Monitoring of demobilizing forces
 - -Demobilization vs. withdrawal
- -Domestic political situation with US allies
 - -Benefits of reduction

Tape Subject Log

- -Relative contribution of allies
 - -Reduction of US forces
- -Reduction of indigenous forces
- -Strategic impact of US-Union of Soviet Socialist Republics [USSR] reduction
 - -Disadvantages
 - -European attitude
 - -Military establishment
 - -Germany
- -Actual numbers of troops
 - -US vs. Union of Soviet Socialist Republics [USSR]
 - -Total Warsaw Pact area troops
 - -Military organization
 - -Relative contributions
 - -Union of Soviet Socialist Republics [USSR] vs.US reductions
 - -Trade-offs of allied reductions
- -Polish troops
 - -Experience with Polish honor guard
 - -The President's Moscow trip in 1959
- -Essence of troop reductions
 - -US vs. Union of Soviet Socialist Republics [USSR]
 - -Warsaw Pact vs. North Atlantic Treaty Organization [NATO]
 - -Role of Union of Soviet Socialist Republics [USSR] troops
- -Allies' views on percentage reduction
 - -France
 - -General strategy
- -Reduction of troops in stages
 - -Future talks
- -Henry A. Kissinger's presentation
 - -Verification
 - -Use of national means
 - -Union of Soviet Socialist Republics [USSR] "on site" inspection
 - -Reduction of equipment
 - -Relation to size of cuts
 - -Future strategy
 - -Combat vs. support force cuts
 - -Verification
 - -Preparation of options
 - -Timetable
 - -North Atlantic Treaty Organization [NATO] meeting
 - -Public relations
 - -Response to Union of Soviet Socialist Republics [USSR] initiatives
 - -William P. Rogers
 - -Contact with Union of Soviet Socialist Republics [USSR]

Tape Subject Log

```
-Anatoliy F. Dobrynin
```

- -Delays
 - -US vs. Union of Soviet Socialist Republics [USSR]
- -Union of Soviet Socialist Republics [USSR] overtures
 - -Effect on defense commitment of allies
 - -Counteraction
 - -View of allies
- -US-Union of Soviet Socialist Republics [USSR] reductions
 - -Allies
 - -Reduction of allied forces
 - -French
 - -British
- -Allied view of Michael J. ("Mike") Mansfield amendment
 - -William P. Rogers
 - -Andrew J. Goodpaster
- -European allies
 - -US contribution to North Atlantic Treaty Organization [NATO]
 - -Alexander M. Haig, Jr. [?]
 - -Andrew J. Goodpaster's role
 - -Benefits of MBFR [Mutual and Balanced Force Reduction]
 - -Rejection of unilateral US reductions
- -US preparation for MBFR [Mutual and Balanced Force Reduction]
- -British preparation for MBFR [Mutual and Balanced Force Reduction]
- -General view
 - -Justification
 - -Relationship of European reduction and general reduction of US forces
 - -Need for verification
 - -Henry A. Kissinger
 - -"On-site" inspection
 - -Union of Soviet Socialist Republics [USSR] negotiating tactics
 - -Expansion of discussion
 - -US preparation
 - -Length of talks
 - -Relative advantages
 - -Eventual expansion of talks
 - -Nuclear MBFR [Mutual and Balanced Force Reduction]
 - -New York Times story
 - -US desire for MBFR [Mutual and Balanced Force Reduction]
 - -Need for action
 - -Michael J. ("Mike") Mansfield amendment
 - -Public attitude
 - -Positive thought

Tape Subject Log

(rev. 11/21)

Mutual and Balanced Force Reduction [MBFR]

-General view

- -New York Times
 - -Printing of classified material
 - -Responsibility for secrecy
 - -Declassification of material
 - -World War II documents
 - -Korean War documents
 - -Administration position
 - -Franklin D. Roosevelt
 - -Harry S Truman
 - -John F. Kennedy
 - -Lyndon B. Johnson
 - -Rogers
 - -Need for protection of communications
 - -Allen Dulles
 - -Over-classification
 - -Protection of sources
 - -Effect of publication
 - -Governing ability
 - -Interest in Vietnam
 - -Political advantages
 - -Kennedy
 - -Johnson
 - -Higher interests
 - -Need for secure communications
 - -Punishment of offenders
 - -Legislative counters
 - -New York Times' attitude
 - -Administration action
 - -Reasons

[Previous National Security (B) withdrawal reviewed under MDR guidelines case number LPRN-T-MDR-2014-013. Segment declassified on 12/29/2017. Archivist: MAS]

[National Security] [062-002-w003]

[Duration: <u>7s</u>]

Tape Subject Log

(rev. 11/21)

```
Mutual and Balanced Force Reduction [MBFR]
-General view
-New York Times
-Administration action
-John F. Kennedy information
```

-Ngo Dinh Diem murder

```
Mutual and Balanced Force Reduction [MBFR]
```

-General view

-New York Times

- -Need for caution in briefings
- -Temptations to leak
- -Handling of documents
 - -Responsibility
 - -Punishment
- -Effect of publication
- -Rogers
 - -Legal procedures
 - -Attack on the President
 - -Background of publication
 - -Drew Pearson
 - -New York Times' demeanor
 - -Lack of legal procedures
- -Television coverage
- -World War II and Korean War
 - -Roosevelt
 - -Truman
 - -Entry into Vietnam
 - -Unjust nature of attack
 - -Implications of stories

[This segment was declassified on 02/28/2002.]

[National Security] [062-002-w002] [Duration: <u>1m 4s</u>]

Tape Subject Log

(rev. 11/21)

Mutual and Balanced Force Reduction [MBFR]

-General view

-World War II and Korean War

-Contingency plans

-People's Republic of China {PRC]

-North Vietnam

-Interpretation of the President's statements

Mutual and Balanced Force Reduction [MBFR]

-General view

-World War II and Korean War

-Implications of stories

-Johnson's statements

-Roosevelt

-Newspaper reporters

-Unknown writer

-Ability to run government

-Extent of documentation

-Time period

-Pick-ups made

-Attorney General

-Origination of documents

-Background of story

-Document preparation

-Effect on public opinion

-Effect on opinion of other governments

-Attack on the President

-Robert S. McNamara

-Administration reaction

-The Vice President

-Rogers

-Results of demand

-Morality argument

-Alger Hiss case

-Involvement of New York Times' staff

-Reprinting

-Grand jury

-Caution in administration statements

-Public reaction

-Vietnam War

Tape Subject Log

(rev. 11/21)

- -Reflection on the President's efforts
 - -Johnson
- -Grand jury formation
 - -Vice Admiral Francis J. Blouin
 - -William B. Macomber, Jr.
- -Forthcoming legal action
- -Strategic Arms Limitation Talks [SALT] developments

The President left at 5:12 pm

[Previous archivists categorized this section as unintelligible. It has been rereviewed and released 08/19/2019.] [Unintelligible] [062-002-w005] [Duration: 53s]
General conversation
White House

The Vice President, et al. left at an unknown time before 11:59 pm